

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,440	08/26/2003	Kil-soo Jung	1793.1004	1637	
49455 STEIN, MCEV	7590 12/26/200 WEN & BUI, LLP	EXAMINER			
1400 EYE STI		CHIO, TAT CHI			
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/647,440 JUNG ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner TAT CHI CHIO 2621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). on is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

11)	The	oath	or	deci	ar	atio	Ì
Priority	unde	r 35	u.s	s.C.	8	119	

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

application from the International Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Thromation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/00) Paper Nots/Mail Date 2/17/2004.	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Interval Patert Application 6) Other:	

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ______.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 9/12/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Lamkin does not teach the ENAV engine refrains from informing the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the key input event based on second event information recorded in the markup document.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Lamkin teaches that the navigation buttons, up, left, right, and down, in one embodiment, do not work for DVD navigation unless video is playing a full-screen mode. The examiner deems that "when video is not playing a full-screen mode" is a second event. Therefore, when the video is not playing a full-screen mode (second event), the navigation buttons do not work and therefore, the key input event will not be informed to the AV playback engine by the ENAV engine based on the second event.

Applicant argues that Lamkin does not teach the ENAV engine allows the key input event signal to occur using first event information written in the markup document, which includes event registration information to check whether the user performed the action.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Lamkin discloses event registration to check whether the user performed the action in Table A.1.41. Table A.1.41 check if a User Operation is valid and the main purpose of this command is to retrieve the current UOP (User Operation) status. Checking the validity of a user

Art Unit: 2621

operation can be done if there is a user operation, therefore, this information provides whether the user performed an action. Furthermore, since the main purpose of this command is to retrieve the current user operation status, checking whether user performed an action is needed before retrieving the current user operation status.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lamkin et al. (US 7,178,106 B2).

Consider claim 1, Lamkin et al. teach an apparatus to reproduce AV data in an interactive mode, the apparatus comprising:

- an AV playback engine that plays back the AV data (422, 426 and 734 of Fig. 7);
- an ENAV engine that interprets and executes a markup document (742, 410, 702, 704, 706, 708, 701, 712, 714, and 716 of Fig. 7); wherein, when a key input event corresponding to a user action occurs, the ENAV engine informs, by default, the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the key

Art Unit: 2621

input event, and allows the key input event to occur using first event information written in the markup document (col. 19, lines 44-47), which includes event registration information to check whether the user performed the action (Table A.1.41):

 wherein, when a second event occurs, the ENAV engine refrains from informing the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the key input event based on second event information recorded in the markup document (col. 19, lines 51-53).

Consider claim 3, Lamkin et al. teach the apparatus, wherein the ENAV engine generates an API command to control the AV playback engine, in response to the key input event corresponding to the user action (col. 11, lines 56-66).

Consider claim 5, Lamkin et al. teach the apparatus, wherein, when the key input event occurs using the first event information, the ENAV engine transmits a playback control command corresponding to the key input event to the AV playback engine to handle the key input event (col. 11, lines 54-67 and col. 12, lines 1-15).

Consider claim 6, Lamkin et al. teach the apparatus, wherein when an onclick event occurs using the first event information, the ENAV engine transmits a playback control command corresponding to the onclick event to the AV playback engine to handle the onclick event (col. 19, lines 44-47).

Art Unit: 2621

Consider claim 7, Lamkin et al. teach the apparatus, wherein the ENAV engine comprises an interface handler that informs the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the key input event (702 of Fig. 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamkin et al. (US 7,178,106 B2) in view of Kanazawa et al. (US 6,580,870 B1).

Consider claim 8, Lamkin et al. teach a playback device, comprising: a reader to read AV data (736 of Fig. 7); an ENAV engine, coupled to the reader, a blender and an AV playback engine, the ENAV engine outputting a key input event signal to the AV playback engine in accordance with user input, receiving a trigger signal from the AV playback engine, sending a control signal to the AV playback engine, and receiving a markup document, verifying, interpreting, executing and sending an interpreted markup document to the blender in accordance with the trigger signal (742, 410, 702, 704, 706, 708, 701, 712, 714, and 716 of Fig. 7); the AV playback engine, coupled to the ENAV engine, the blender and the reader, to, upon receiving the key input event signal from the ENAV engine and determining a point in time required to trigger the markup document, send the trigger signal to the ENAV engine, and control play back of DVD-

Art Unit: 2621

Video data in accordance with the key input event signal from the ENAV engine (422, 426 and 734 of Fig. 7); wherein the ENAV engine allows the key input event signal to occur using first event information written in the markup document (col. 19, lines 44-47), which includes event registration information to check whether the user performed the action (Table A.1.41) and when a second event occurs, the ENAV engine refrains from informing the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the key input event based on second event information recorded in the markup document (col. 19, lines 51-53); but fail to teach the blender, coupled to the ENAV engine and the AV playback engine, to blend and output a DVD-Video stream that has been played back with the interpreted markup document.

Kanazawa et al. teach the blender, coupled to the ENAV engine and the AV playback engine, to blend and output a DVD-Video stream that has been played back with the interpreted markup document (113 of Fig. 16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a blender into the system to display the video and HTML page together on the monitor.

Consider claim 9, Lamkin et al. teach the playback device, wherein the AV data includes DVD-Video data and a markup document (col. 5, lines 55-57).

Consider claim 10, Lamkin et al. teach the playback device, wherein the playback device operates in one of: an interactive mode, a video mode, and a full-screen mode that is a sub-display of the interactive mode (col. 19, lines 41-44).

Art Unit: 2621

Consider claim 11, Kanazawa et al. further teach the playback device, wherein the playback device fetches a markup document from a network (100 of Fig. 16).

Consider claim 12, Lamkin et al. teach the playback device, wherein one of: when a first event information is recorded in the markup document and a first event occurs using the first event information, the ENAV engine informs the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the first event (col. 19, lines 44-47); when a key input event corresponding to a user action occurs, the ENAV engine informs, by default, the AV playback engine of the occurrence of the key input event (col. 19, lines 44-47); when second event information is recorded in the markup document and a second event occurs using the second event information, the ENAV engine prohibits the AV playback engine from being informed of the occurrence of the key input event corresponding to user action of the second event (col. 19, lines 51-54); and when third event information is recorded in the markup document and a third event occurs using the third event information when the user input is forwarded directly to or prohibited from being forwarded to the AV playback engine, the ENAV engine performs an operation corresponding to the third event (col. 19, lines 58-59).

Consider claim 13, Lamkin et al. teach the playback device, wherein the ENAV engine comprises: a parser and interpreter (612 of Fig. 6); an interface handler (614 of Fig. 6), coupled to receive user input, to the parser and interpreter, to the AV playback engine, and to a decoder; and the decoder (626 of Fig. 6), coupled to the parser and interpreter and to the interface handler.

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAT CHI CHIO whose telephone number is (571)272-9563. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 AM-5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on (571)-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,440 Page 9

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. C. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621